

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,429	09/21/2005	Matthias Fink	28944/50001	3337
Brent E Matth	7590 02/20/200 ias	9	EXAM	UNER
Miller Matthias & Hull			HUGHES, SCOTT A	
One North Fra Suite 2350	ınklin		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 6	0606		3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2000	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. | Applicant(s) | Offlice Action Summary | 10/550,429 | FINK ET AL | Examiner | Art Unit | 3663 | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Reply

		SCOTT A. HUGHES	3663	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA memors of time may be available under the provisions of 30 CPE 1, 130 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to exply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute, and a provision of the set of t	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status	ou patent term as positions. See 57 Or 17 17 04(0).			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 No</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This a Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	action is non-final. be except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 July 2007</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctic The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	accepted or b) objected to be rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object. if it is required if the drawing(s) is object. if it is required if the drawing(s) is object. if it is required if the drawing(s) is object. if it is required if the drawing(s) is object. if it is required if the drawing(s) is object. if it is required if the drawing(s) is object. if it is required if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object. if it is required in the drawing(s) is object	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Ci	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicati by documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachmen	t(s)			

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Theoremation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/05/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Pater Lapplication. 6) Other:	
S. Potent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/550,429

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/17/2008 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 11/17/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made under 35 U.S.C. 101. Any inconvenience to the applicant is regretted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 1-13 are method or process claims that do not transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing, nor are they

Application/Control Number: 10/550,429

Art Unit: 3663

tied to another statutory class (such as a particular machine). See <u>Diamond v. Diehr</u>, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981) (quoting <u>Benson</u>, 409 U.S. at 70); <u>Parker v. Flook</u>, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978) (citing <u>Cochrane v. Deener</u>, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876)). See also In re <u>Bilski</u> (Fed Cir. 2007-1130, 10/30/2008) where the Fed. Cir. held that method claims must pass the "machine-or-transformation test" in order to be eligible for patent protection under 35 USC 101.

The method claims are not tied to a particular machine or apparatus, as they do not recite the specific machines that perform the emission, reception, and determination steps of the claim. The method claims do not result in the transformation of a particular article into a different state or thing because the claims are not transforming an article but are rather processing wave data to determine an impulse response. This determination is a processing step and not a transformation of an article into a different state or thing.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SCOTT A. HUGHES whose telephone number is (571)272-6983. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am to 5:00pm.

Art Unit: 3663

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on (571) 272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Scott A. Hughes/ Examiner, Art Unit 3663